



LLOYDS METALS AND ENERGY LIMITED

Regd. Office and Works : Plot No. A1 & A2, MIDC Industrial Area, Ghugus 442 505, District Chandrapur (MS), Tel : 07172-285398, 07172-285103
Corporate Office : A2, 2nd Floor Madhu Estate, Pandurang Budhkar Marg, Lower Parel, Mumbai-400013, Tel : +91-22-62918111

www.loyds.in | CIN: L40300MH1977PLC019594 | investor@loyds.in

Date: 23rd August, 2023

To,

BSE Limited

The Corporate Relationship Department

Phiroze Jeejeebhoy Towers,

Dalal Street, Mumbai – 400001

BSE Scrip Code: 512455

National Stock Exchange of India Limited

Exchange Plaza, Bandra Kurla Complex,

Bandra (East), Mumbai - 400 051

NSE Symbol: LLOYDSME

Head- Listing & Compliance

Metropolitan Stock Exchange of India Limited (MSEI)

205 (A), 2nd floor, Piramal Agastya Corporate Park,

Kamani Junction, LBS Road,

Kurla (West), Mumbai - 400070

MSEI Scrip ID: LLOYDSME

Sub: Disclosure under Regulation 30 of the Securities and Exchange Board of India (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015

Dear Sir/ Madam,

Pursuant to Regulation 30(4) of SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015, we enclose the details of all continuing events/information which have become material pursuant to notification of the SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) (Second Amendment) Regulations, 2023.

Kindly take the same on your record and inform the stakeholders accordingly.

**For and on behalf of
Lloyds Metals and Energy Limited**

**Trushali Shah
Company Secretary
Place: Mumbai
Encl: a/a**

Sr. No.	Particulars	1	2	3	4
I	Name of the Opposing Party	Nagar Export Private Limited	Suman WD/O. Laxman Badbhuje, resident of Bailgaon Tahluka Bhadrawati, District Chandrapur	Sohan Minerals & Mining Co. Private Limited	Narayani WD/O Kamalnayan Gokhare and others
II	Court / Tribunal / Agency before which it is filed	20th Extra Jt. Civil Judge (Sr. Div) Chandrapur	7th, Jt Civil Judge Jr. Dn. JMFC, Chandrapur	District Judge-1, Addl. Sessions Judge, Gadchiroli (M.S)	High Court, Nagpur
III	Brief Details of Dispute/ Litigation	Recovery suit filed by Nagar Export Private Limited against the screening contract awarded to them.	The Company had purchase Agricultural land adjoining to its plant area, the sisters of main owners of land has already filed a suit against their mother and brothers for their share and has sent a notice to the Company for making a party of the said suit.	Recovery suit filed by the Company to recover the mobilisation advance given to the opposing Party	Motor accident claim
IV	Expected financial implications, if any, due to compensation of penalty etc	The expected financial implication cannot be determined at this stage as the matter is currently pending and order on the same is awaited.	The expected financial implication cannot be determined at this stage as the matter is currently pending and order on the same is awaited.	The expected financial implication cannot be determined at this stage as the matter is currently pending and order on the same is awaited.	The expected financial implication cannot be determined at this stage as the matter is currently pending and order on the same is awaited.
V	Quantum of Claims, if any	Rs.1,10,50,443.00 + Interest 13.5% P.A. from 6th December, 2008 till finalisation of Suit.	NIL	Rs. 1,58,50,000/- + 18% interest from 09th April, 2014 till full realisation of the case.	Rs. 51,69,813.00 + relief as the Courts may be decide. Liability is covered by insurance

Sr. No.	Particulars	5	6	7	8
I	Name of the Opposing Party	Commissioner of CGST & Central Excise, Nagpur	Commissioner, Nagpur Central Excise	Commissioner, Guntur Central excise	Commissioner of CGST & Central Excise, Nagpur
II	Court / Tribunal / Agency before which it is filed	CESTAT	Supreme Court	Commissioner of Appeals, Guntur	CESTAT
III	Brief Details of Dispute/ Litigation	The Department is asking for IPS refund which was disbursed earlier under Industrial Promotional Subsidy scheme AG. Policy No. DI/PSI-2007/Mega Project/EC-21/09/B-14201 dated 18th May, 2009 as department contending that the noticee were receiving refund of VAT/Sales Tax as IPS from time to time, as incentive under IPS scheme 2007 which was not being included in the "Transaction Value" under section 4 of the erstwhile Central Excise Act, 1944, and consequently, no duty was discharge thereon by the noticee	Department raised the point of denial of CENVAT credit on welding electrodes used for repair and maintenance of capital goods. In the case of Company. Hon. Supreme court tagged its case with many other petitions filed by assesses civil application No.6142/2010 dt 16th July, 2010	Differencial Amount of custom duty reassessed by custom department for Sout African coal imported under high seas agreement with Adani Enterprises and the Company has paid entire demand amount of Rs. 66.56 lacs under protest.	Department had contended that Industry involve in Manufacturing as well as trading activity and Excise centvat on manuaufacturing activity as well as trading activity commonly taken and utilised excise centvat on trading activity not bifurcated which was not egible as per Act.
IV	Expected financial implications, if any, due to compensation of penalty etc	The expected financial implication cannot be determined at this stage as the matter is currently pending and order on the same is awaited.	The expected financial implication cannot be determined at this stage as the matter is currently pending and order on the same is awaited.	The expected financial implication cannot be determined at this stage as the matter is currently pending and order on the same is awaited.	The expected financial implication cannot be determined at this stage as the matter is currently pending and order on the same is awaited.
V	Quantum of Claims, if any	Rs. 8,43,46,894/-	Rs. 5,20,000/-	Rs. 66,56,000/-	Rs. 5,84,46,000/-

Sr. No.	Particulars	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
I	Name of the Opposing Party	Deputy Commissioner of Income Tax Central Circle 7(1) Mumbai	Deputy Commissioner of Income Tax Central Circle 7(1) Mumbai	Deputy Commissioner of Income Tax Central Circle 7(1) Mumbai	Deputy Commissioner of Income Tax Central Circle 7(1) Mumbai	Deputy Commissioner of Income Tax Central Circle 7(1) Mumbai	Deputy Commissioner of Income Tax Central Circle 7(1) Mumbai	Deputy Commissioner of Income Tax Central Circle 7(1) Mumbai
II	Court / Tribunal / Agency before which it is filed	Commissioner Of Income Tax Appeals- 49.	Commissioner Of Income Tax Appeals- 49.	Commissioner Of Income Tax Appeals- 49.	Commissioner Of Income Tax Appeals- 49.	Commissioner Of Income Tax Appeals- 49.	Commissioner Of Income Tax Appeals- 49.	Commissioner Of Income Tax Appeals- 49.
III	Brief Details of Dispute/ Litigation	against the order passed u/s. 143 (3) r.w.s. 153C	against the order passed u/s. 143 (3) r.w.s. 153C	against the order passed u/s. 143 (3) r.w.s. 153C	against the order passed u/s. 143 (3) r.w.s. 153C	against the order passed u/s. 143 (3) r.w.s. 153C	against the order passed u/s. 143 (3) r.w.s. 153C	against the order passed u/s. 143 (3) r.w.s. 153C
IV	Expected financial implications, if any, due to compensation of penalty etc	The expected financial implication cannot be determined at this stage as the matter is currently pending and order on the same is awaited.	The expected financial implication cannot be determined at this stage as the matter is currently pending and order on the same is awaited.	The expected financial implication cannot be determined at this stage as the matter is currently pending and order on the same is awaited.	The expected financial implication cannot be determined at this stage as the matter is currently pending and order on the same is awaited.	The expected financial implication cannot be determined at this stage as the matter is currently pending and order on the same is awaited.	The expected financial implication cannot be determined at this stage as the matter is currently pending and order on the same is awaited.	The expected financial implication cannot be determined at this stage as the matter is currently pending and order on the same is awaited.
V	Quantum of Claims, if any	A demand has been raised by the Assessing Officer for an amount of Rs. 1,86,15,740/-. The Company uptill date has paid Rs. 35,39,480/- under protest	A demand has been raised by the Assessing Officer for an amount of Rs. 3,07,00,410/- The Company uptill date has paid Rs. 55,00,000/- under protest	A demand has been raised by the Assessing Officer for an amount of Rs. 6,78,85,480/. The Company uptill date has paid Rs. 25,00,000/- under protest	A demand has been raised by the Assessing Officer for an amount of Rs. 4,90,92,876/. The Company has not made any payment against it.	A demand has been raised by the Assessing Officer for an amount of Rs. 5,24,22,840/. The Company has not made any payment against it.	A demand has been raised by the Assessing Officer for an amount of Rs. 3,22,03,511/. The Company has not made any payment against it.	A demand has been raised by the Assessing Officer for an amount of Rs. 6,91,66,418/. The Company has not made any payment against it.